

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- A. INTRODUCTION** 1
- B. PRINCIPLES** 2
 - B.1 Vision, Mission, and Values.....2
 - B.1.1 RM of Morris Vision2
 - B.1.2 RM of Morris Mission.....2
 - B.1.3 RM of Morris Values.....2
 - B.2 Public Engagement Vision Statements3
- C. COMMUNITIES OF THE DISTRICT**
 - C.1 The Site and Situation of the RM of Morris No. 3125
 - C.1.1 Resources5
 - C.1.2 Accessibility.....5
 - C.1.3 The Economy5
 - C.1.4 Health Services/Education6
 - C.1.5 District Population and Housing Accommodation Overview.....6
- D. DISTRICT ISSUES, CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES**
 - D.1 Public Consultation: Issues, Challenges and Opportunities7
 - D.1.1 Issues, Challenges and Opportunities7
- E. GOALS, OBJECTIVES, POLICIES**
 - E.1 Goals9
 - E.2 Objectives and Policies.....9
 - E.2.1 Infrastructure9
 - E.2.1.1 Infrastructure Overview9
 - E.2.1.2 Infrastructure Objectives9
 - E.2.1.3 Infrastructure Policies10
 - E.2.2 Housing10
 - E.2.2.1 Housing Overview.....10
 - E.2.2.2 Housing Objectives10
 - E.2.2.3 Housing Policies10
 - E.2.3 Health Care/Education10
 - E.2.3.1 Health Care/Education Overview10
 - E.2.3.2 Health Care/Education Objectives11
 - E.2.3.3 Health Care/Education Policies.....11
 - E.2.4 Development and the Economy.....11
 - E.2.4.1 Development and the Economy Overview11
 - E.2.4.2 Development and the Economy Objectives.....12
 - E.2.4.3 Development and the Economy Policies12
 - E.2.5 Population Growth.....12

E.2.5.1	Population Growth Overview	12
E.2.5.2	Population Growth Objectives	12
E.2.5.3	Population Growth Policies	13
E.2.6	Quality of Life	13
E.2.6.1	Quality of Life Overview	13
E.2.6.2	Quality of Life Objectives	13
E.2.6.3	Quality of Life Policies.....	13
E.2.7	Sustainability and the Environment.....	13
E.2.7.1	Sustainability and the Environment Overview	13
E.2.7.2	Sustainability and the Environment Objectives.....	13
E.2.7.3	Sustainability and the Environment Policies.....	14

F. LAND USE

F.1	Introduction.....	15
F.2	Land Uses	15
F.2.1	Agriculture	15
F.2.2	Country Residential	16
F.2.3	Other Designations.....	17

G. PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING

G.1	Implementation Through Legislation	18
G.2	Implementation Through Budgeting	18
G.3	Monitoring and Measuring Success	18

H. SCHEDULES

R.M. of Morris #312 Land Use.....	Schedule A
-----------------------------------	------------

A. INTRODUCTION

This schedule of the District Plan is adopted by The RM of Morris No. 312, in accordance with sections 29 and 35 of *The Planning and Development Act, 2007*, (the Act) to provide a framework of goals, objectives and policies to guide the management and use of land, along with its future development, within the RM limits. This Plan is designed to assist decision makers in securing the future and current goals of the community while evaluating the future effects of decisions regarding land use planning.

The District Plan includes policy recommendations that promote a collective approach through the efforts of the Mid Saskatchewan Municipal Alliance (MSMA) to achieve goals for both the District and its individual municipalities. The RM's OCP reflects these policy recommendations through its municipal policies which complement and support the District document and at the same time, respond to the needs and aspirations of the residents of the RM of Morris.

B. PRINCIPLES

The principles that form the Vision, Mission and Values were developed by the municipal members of the Mid Sask Municipal Alliance (MSMA). Though these principles may differ somewhat from one community to the next, they are meant to embrace the collective view of all of the MSMA’s municipalities. The vision, mission and values, apply equally therefore, to the RM of Morris and the MSMA as a whole.

B.1 Vision, Mission, and Values

B.1.1 The RM of Morris Vision

The RM of Morris a progressive community in a rural setting committed to working together with the MSMA for the long term betterment of the area. Our richness in agriculture, potash and manufacturing creates a strong economy for the region and the province. The area supports a variety and abundance of wildlife and numerous tourist sites and opportunities. Our region contains cooperative and ambitious community spirits that create a unique quality of life for everyone.

B.1.2 The RM of Morris Mission

The RM of Morris is working together with the MSMA to sustain, build, and grow the communities in the region. By sharing information and resources, and identifying our strengths and opportunities, we are finding solutions to common problems and improving the quality of life in the region. We believe that progress is important to enhance the communities and strengthen the region. Our co-operative efforts are intended to preserve the past while improving the lifestyle and quality of life for present and future generations

B.1.3 The RM of Morris Values

Honesty, Transparency and Integrity

Our community will move forward with transparent agendas and will portray itself honestly to residents, ratepayers, and newcomers to the area, to governing bodies and to other members of the MSMA.

Dedication and Commitment

Our community is dedicated to working with the MSMA and fulfilling responsibilities to reach common goals. We are committed to promote the shared interests of the MSMA communities.

Preservation

The RM of Morris will strive to preserve the quality of life in the region and to keep the RM healthy, vibrant and thriving. The RM will also work to continue the strong partnership between the rural and urban communities in the region.

Unification

The RM of Morris is dedicated to working with the MSMA as a whole towards common goals. The RM believes that the pursuit of common interests will create a stronger voice and better opportunities for the RM and the area as a whole.

Tolerance and Open-mindedness

The RM of Morris is committed to be tolerant of one another's ideas and opinions and to be open to change. The RM will work to promote acceptance of newcomers and diversity of culture and ethnicity. The RM will ensure that everyone is heard around the table and will keep an open mind to all ideas.

Logical Thinking

The RM of Morris will work toward realistic and achievable goals.

Co-operative, Sharing and Equitability

The RM of Morris is dedicated to exhibit true co-operation with MSMA municipalities, trusting each other, listening and working together in making equitable decisions.

B.2 Public Engagement Vision Statements

During the course of the public consultation processes in the spring and summer of 2011 the participants developed a number of vision statements. These statements complement the principles that were established by the MSMA and represent the public's collective perspective of each municipal vision.

Environmental Vision

The MSMA Region balances the desire and need for a vibrant community with a commitment to protect its natural features, particularly the valuable agriculture land that is an integral part of the region's roots and future

Social Vision

The MSMA is an area with a long tradition of solid family and rural values. It welcomes new residents of all ages to become part of its diverse and vibrant community. The region offers an enhanced quality of life by providing its residents a safe and affordable place to live with convenient access to health care, education and recreation services.

Economic Vision

The municipalities of the MSMA Region co-operate to promote, facilitate and support a diverse economy. The Region provides the capacity for new economic growth in industries which enhance or complement the area's traditional pillars of agriculture and mining as well as the establishment of new unrelated industries.

C. THE RM OF MORRIS # 312

C.1 The Site and Situation of the RM of Morris No. 312

The RM of Morris is one of four rural municipalities comprising the 12 member Mid Saskatchewan Municipal Alliance. Principally a farming community, the RM is located approximately 60 kilometers south of Saskatoon and 140 kilometers north of Regina. It covers an area of 847 square kilometers and in 2011 had a population of 316. The principal urban municipalities in the RM are the Town of Watrous and the Resort Village of Manitou Beach and the Village of Young.

C.1.1 Resources

The RM's resources lay largely in its excellent agricultural land base. The Canada Land Inventory's land capability for agriculture survey indicates that the majority of the RM is comprised of the highly rated classes 1-3 capability for agriculture. The RM also has important recreation and wildlife habitat resources at the Zelma Reservoir, and Little Manitou Lake. The Reservoir is an important attraction for local and regional fishing enthusiasts.

C.1.2 Accessibility

Provincial Highway #2 traverses the RM serving both the RM and the town of Watrous and the Village of Young. Secondary Highways 763 and 670 also connect the to the grid system of local roadways –paved, graveled and bladed - that serve the entire municipality. The Via Rail's "Canadian" runs through the RM passing through other municipalities of the MSMA including the RM of Osborne # 310, and the towns of Watrous and Nokomis. Watrous is a flag stop for the "Canadian".

C.1.3 The Economy

The economy of the RM of Morris is based largely on agriculture and agriculture-related activity. The 2006 census noted that approximately 60 percent of the labour force was involved in the agriculture and other resource based industry. The range of agricultural activity extends from grain farming, mixed grain/livestock, intensive livestock operations as well as agriculture-related commercial businesses including agribusinesses conducted from farmsteads and home occupations.

C.1.4 Health Services/Education

Schools

Elementary School services are available in Viscount and Watrous. Watrous Winston High School serves the high school needs of students from the RM of Morris.

Health Services

Health services are provided in the urban centres of the District. The Town of Watrous has a hospital and physician. More specialized medical care needs may need to be met in larger centres outside of the MSMA District.

C.1.5 District Population and Housing Accommodation Overview

The RM of Morris saw a population decline of 8.9% between the Census years 2006 and 2011. The District Plan notes however, that this was not unique to the RM of Morris or the other RM's of the MSMA. A similar farm population loss was experienced by the province as a whole, during that census interval.

The RM has noted that there is a lack of available housing in the municipality. The present zoning by-law limits the number of residential parcels that may be created on a quarter section to four. Requests to exceed that number require the approval of the RM Council.

D. ISSUES, CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

The issues, challenges and opportunities of the RM of Morris are addressed in this section of the OCP schedule. These are consistent with **Section D – “Issues, Challenges and Opportunities”** of the District Plan. Additionally, a number of issues that are specific to the RM of Morris are also itemized in this section.

D.1 Public Consultation: Issues, Challenges and Opportunities

A public consultation process was carried out in April and June of 2011 with a series of meetings taking place in the various communities of the MSMA. On June 7th, 2011, a meeting was held in the Town of Watrous in order to seek comments and suggestions from residents of the town and the RM of Morris on the issues, challenges and opportunities that each community could face as well as offer. In considering these matters, the participants were asked to take into account the potential impact of the proposed new Jansen mine being developed by BHP Billiton and expected to be operating at full capacity in 2015. Five residents from the RM attended the meeting.

The format for the consultation process entailed dividing the participants into small groups and discussing the following:

- a. Key characteristics of community
- b. What we expect to happen
- c. What we want to happen
- d. What we want to avoid and e) Methods/Strategies.

From these group sessions and discussion of themes, a variety of issues, challenges and opportunities were derived. These reflect the issues and challenges and opportunities of the District as a whole and, as noted, are described fully in **Section D** of the District Plan.

D.1.1 Issues, Challenges and Opportunities

In addition to those in the District Plan, the following issues, challenges and opportunities have been identified as specific to the RM of Morris.

Housing

- More acreages (country residences) are anticipated with new growth. There is opportunity to offer this form of accommodation
- Housing development shouldn't be spread out; acreages should be developed in cluster fashion

Economy

- Strengthening the agriculture sector provides opportunity for spinoff and related commercial businesses
- Good opportunities exist in tourism and recreation (hunting and fishing)

Health

- A high rate of doctor turnover exists
- Residents want regular doctors
- There is a need for more government funding for fire protection and ambulance service

Infrastructure

- Road system is aging. Improvement and maintenance are necessary
- Availability of potable water is poor
- Regional collaboration is needed for infrastructure

E. GOALS, OBJECTIVES, POLICIES

Section E of this OCP schedule addresses the goals, objectives and policies for the RM of Morris. These are consistent with **Section E. “Goals, Objectives, Policies”** of the District Plan. Objectives and policies that are specifically related to the RM of Morris are also noted in this part of the OCP schedule. These objectives and policies are organized on the basis of recurring “themes” derived from the evaluation of issues and challenges identified in **Section D of the District Plan – “Issues, Challenges and Opportunities”**.

The “themes” developed in the District Plan and which are also applicable to the RM of Morris are: Infrastructure, Housing, Health Care/Education, Development and the Economy, Population Growth and Quality of Life. The objectives and policies relating to these are addressed later in this chapter.

E.1 Goals

The Goals of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E. “Goals, Objectives, Policies”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2 Objectives and Policies

E.2.1 Infrastructure

E.2.1.1 Infrastructure Overview

The imminent development of BHP Billiton’s Jansen potash mine has the potential to stimulate growth in the rural areas that are in proximity to the project. This has created a need to examine the existing infrastructure capabilities of the RM – water service, sewage disposal, landfill, and roadways.

The RM of Morris is served by a grid road system which connects to Provincial Highway #2. Two secondary highways- #670 and #763 also serve the area. At the public consultation process attendees from the RM indicated that road improvements and maintenance were high priorities. Other important infrastructure issues included the need for improved water quality, consideration to using regional approaches to providing infrastructure services and a garbage removal system.

E.2.1.2 Infrastructure Objectives

The Infrastructure Objectives of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section “E.2.1.2. Infrastructure Objectives”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.1.3 Infrastructure Policies

The Infrastructure Policies of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section “E.2.1.3 Infrastructure Policies”** of the *District Plan*. In addition, the following infrastructure policy applies to the RM of Morris:

The RM of Morris will review the feasibility of a garbage removal system for the municipality and will work with the MSMA to determine if a regional system is more practical and cost efficient.

E.2.2 Housing

E.2.2.1 Housing Overview

Demand for new housing and development forms is likely to occur when the Jansen mine becomes operational. As noted in D.1.1 above (RM of Morris, Issues, Challenges and Opportunities) comments from the public consultation process pointed out that there is merit in considering country residential style of development in the RM, provided the planning of such subdivisions is done in the form of clusters. The RM of Morris’ former Basic Planning Statement (4/97) identified “low density residential development” and “medium density residential development” as allowable uses in the municipality – provided certain criteria were followed. The overarching District Plan takes note of the probable interest in country residential forms of development and makes policy provision for such uses.

E.2.2.2 Housing Objectives

The housing objectives of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.2.2 “Housing Objectives”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.2.3 Housing Policies

The housing policies of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.2.3 “Housing Policies”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.3 Health Care/Education

E.2.3.1 Health Care/Education Overview

The educational needs of the students are provided by the district located in Watrous and Viscount. Concern has been raised during consultations with the public over declining enrollments and potential school closures. Objectives and policies are set out in the District Plan to address these concerns.

Health issues and emergency service also rank highly for citizens of the RM. A major concern exists over the high rate of turnover for doctors and the need for families to be served by regular family physicians. Additional concerns have been expressed over adequate funding for emergency services including ambulance service and fire protection. Objectives and policies respecting health are included in the District Plan. These respond to the points that have been identified by the residents of the RM through the public engagement process.

E.2.3.2 Health Care/Education Objectives

The Health Care/Education objectives of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.3.2 “Health Care/Education Objectives”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.3.3 Health Care/Education Policies

The Health Care/Education Policies of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.3.3 “Health Care/Education Policies”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.4 Development and the Economy

E.2.4.1 Development and the Economy Overview

Agriculture is the single most important sector of the RM of Morris’s economy. It not only offers direct employment opportunities – raising crops and livestock – but, as has been noted under “Issues, Challenges and Opportunities” (See Section D.1.1 above) also offers excellent opportunities for creating spinoff commercial business. Protecting the land that supports this economic activity, using it wisely, and encouraging and supporting farming operations are therefore, fundamental principles of the RM’s OCP.

Business and service spinoff possibilities from the Jansen mine also present important employment opportunities for the residents of the RM. As an example, the operating PotashCorp mine near the Town of Lanigan has generated important economic benefits for many existing businesses both in the Town and beyond.

Tourism and recreation also have significant possibilities for contributing to the economic vitality of the RM of Morris. Hunting and fishing are among the activities that can (and do) draw visitors and residents alike to the RM. Attractions such as the Zelma Reservoir, Little Manitou Lake, the many facilities of the Manitou and District Regional Park, and a countryside that attracts a variety of game, are among the features that lend themselves to capturing a larger segment of the tourist trade. To tap the full potential of these tourist and recreation resources, the RM, in collaboration with its regional neighbours (MSMA) will need to consider a promotional effort that highlights these attractions.

E.2.4.2 Development and the Economy Objectives

The Development and the Economy Objectives of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.4.2 “Development and the Economy Objectives”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.4.3 Development and the Economy Policies

The Development and Economy Policies of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.4.3 “Development and the Economy Policies”** of the *District Plan*.

Additionally, The RM will encourage the application of development levies by separate bylaw, where specific engineering studies on servicing requirements and studies on recreational needs by separate bylaw are created. The purpose of which shall be to recover all or part of the municipality’s capital costs in providing, altering, expanding or upgrading services and facilities associated directly or indirectly with a proposed development (*Planning and Development Act, 2007*).

E.2.5 Population Growth

E.2.5.1 Population Growth Overview

The Statistics Canada profiles for 2001 and 2006 show a population decline for both the urban and rural municipalities of the MSMA, including the RM of Morris. The population decline phenomenon has been occurring in many rural areas and small towns across North America and beyond. The reasons for this are numerous but generally are a result of lack of employment opportunity, an aging population and the younger age groups leaving to seek higher education and work.

The chances and ability to stem loss of population have increased with the employment opportunities that are anticipated with the BHP Jansen mine project and the growth of the potash industry in general. At the same while seeking to capture some of the growth emanating from these mines, the RM can also strengthen and diversify additional opportunities through its agricultural base. These opportunities can be enhanced by continuing to partner with its neighbouring municipalities of the MSMA.

The District Plan discussion on employment generation and population (See **F.1.2 Urban and Rural Strategy** and **Appendix A “Employment Generation and Population Growth MSMA District”**) offers 4 possible scenarios of how the population generated by the Jansen mine development might be distributed among the MSMA’s municipalities. Since the most recent Census of Canada (2011) was not available during the preparation of the OCP, the ‘scenario estimates’ are intended to provide a general forecast of the probable growth impact of the mine when it is fully operational. A more comprehensive population growth estimate for the RM will need to be prepared when the newest census information is released. This estimate should take into account not only the impact of the Jansen mine, but the growth estimates based on the population development that has occurred in the RM between the intervening census period: 2006 and 2011.

E.2.5.2 Population Growth Objectives

The Population Growth objectives of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.5.2** **“Population Growth Objectives”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.5.3 Population Growth Policies

The Population Growth policies of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.5.3 “Population Growth Policies”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.6 Quality of Life

E.2.6.1 Quality of Life Overview

The comments made by the residents of the RM of Morris during the public consultation process expressed both pride and enthusiasm for the quality of life offered by a rural lifestyle. This quality was often described as a “feeling” – a feeling of friendliness, neighbourliness, a sense of safety and security, and an ability to count on people. Though sometimes difficult to quantify, it is important that efforts be made by the municipality to ensure that these elements of life quality are supported and nurtured. Such elements often represent the things that matter most to people and the things that attract people to choose to live in rural communities.

E.2.6.2 Quality of Life Objectives

The Quality of Life objectives of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.6.2 “Quality of Life Objectives”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.6.3 Quality of Life Policies

The Quality of Life policies of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.6.3 “Quality of Life Policies”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.7 Sustainability and the Environment

E.2.7.1 Sustainability and the Environment Overview

The RM of Morris has a number of important wildlife habitats, areas that are susceptible to flooding as well as lands that are highly productive from an agricultural point of view. Sustainable practices – ensuring that future generations of residents of the RM are able to respond to these features and resources and enjoy them – warrant special attention. As stewards of these resources the municipality and its residents can take action to protect habitats, reduce flooding risk and utilize best management practices in agriculture, business and in the development and maintenance of the RM’s infrastructure.

E.2.7.2 Sustainability and the Environment Objectives

The Sustainability and Environment objectives of the RM of Morris#312 are consistent with **Section E.2.7.2 “Sustainability and the Environment Objectives”** of the *District Plan*.

E.2.7.3 Sustainability and Environment Policies

The Sustainability and Environment policies of the RM of Morris #312 are consistent with **Section E.2.7.3 “Sustainability and Environment Policies”** of the *District Plan*. In addition the following Sustainability and Environment policies apply to the RM of Morris:

1. Policy #3 of the District Plan Sustainability and Environment policies states that the MSMA will assist in identifying wildlife habitats, heritage sites, areas susceptible to flooding hazard lands and environmentally sensitive areas. Where such areas and sites have been identified and where any developments are proposed on or near such sites, the RM Council shall require the proponent(s) to:
 - a) demonstrate why such developments should be considered favourably
 - b) outline the steps that will be taken to protect the resource and
 - c) provide such tests, reports and relevant information as may be deemed necessary to ensure that any such development is appropriate and suitable for the area
2. Policy #7 of the District Plan Sustainability and Environment policies direct that the municipal OCPs specify the limitations and standards for development on lands that are susceptible to flooding and/or deemed to be hazard lands. The RM of Morris will, therefore, in collaboration with the MSMA, work with the Saskatchewan Watershed Authority and other relevant agencies and/or consultants, to set suitable standards, limitations and setback policies, for developments proposed near lands susceptible to flooding or deemed to be hazard lands. These standards, limitations and setbacks shall form part of the RM's zoning bylaw.

F. LAND USE

F.1 Introduction

The Land Use Map depicts the pattern of proposed land uses in the RM of Morris. The interpretation of the map should be in tandem with the policies that make up this OCP. Specific implementation regulations and guidelines for these land uses are provided in the RM's zoning bylaw.

F.2 Land Uses

F.2.1 Agriculture

The RM of Morris is a community whose livelihood and economy is dominated by agriculture. The OCP's policies are intended to ensure that this all important resource is protected and continues to provide economic sustenance to the municipality. Two agriculture categories are identified on the Land Use Map: **Agriculture** and **Agriculture Transition**. The principal difference between **Agriculture** and **Agriculture Transition**, is in the agriculture capability rating developed by the federal Canada Land Inventory. The **Agriculture** lands fall into the highest ranking of the Inventory (classes 1-3) while the **Agriculture Transition** lands have been ranked 4-7 i.e. with a lower capability for agriculture. While this distinction has been made, two points should be noted: 1) the delineation of the lines has been done on a very large scale, so the lines may be somewhat imprecise 2) the management practices of farm operators may increase the agriculture capability of the soils including soils that have a lower overall capability rating. Where proposals are being made for uses that are traditionally not agriculture in nature e.g. country residential, an assessment of the soil capability for agriculture should be included during the evaluation of the proposal.

1. Agriculture

This land use provides for a variety of agriculture, agriculture-related and other uses. The zoning bylaws shall determine the appropriateness of designating these as permitted or discretionary. The uses include:

- Farm operations, including farm dwellings, accessory buildings, extensive agriculture (field crops, pasture, livestock/field crop operations), related farm activities (irrigation practices, crop dusting, manure spreading, etc.)
- Intensive and extensive livestock operations in accord with prescribed operating standards. The RM's zoning bylaw will include standards respecting approval processes, separation distances and operating practices as these relate to Intensive Livestock Operations
- Agritourism and agri-businesses, including home based businesses
- Resource extraction, including oil, gas, potash, gravel, and mineral resources.
- Open space, recreation uses, wildlife habitat areas

- Tourist related businesses

2. Agriculture Transition

This land use provides for a variety of agriculture, agriculture-related and other uses. Subject to confirmation of the capability rating for site specific areas and the actual farming practices of the property users, these lands are deemed to be of a lower quality agriculture rating than the **Agriculture** designated lands. The uses recommended for this category include:

- All those uses that occur in the Agriculture category
- Country residential uses in those circumstance where locational and other criteria are met as set out in the District Plan (Policy #6 of Housing Policies, section E.2.2.3). Country residential uses in the Agriculture Transition should be designated as Discretionary uses in the zoning bylaw

F.2.2 Country Residential

The District Plan has provided estimates for population growth that is expected to occur as a result of development of the Jansen mine (See District Plan, F.1.2 Urban and Rural Strategy, *Table 5 Estimated Mine Population Distribution for 2015 by Municipality*). Based on 4 different scenarios, the RM is expected to see a range of residential growth possibilities. In order to provide for growth in the municipality several housing options can be considered in the OCP. Country residential is seen as the most practical and desirable lifestyle that the RM can offer and is therefore included in the OCP. The Land Use map suggests one such site for a potential country residential designation - in the vicinity of Young. It should be noted however, that the boundaries and this location are intended to be conceptual. Any applications for this use will be considered in light of the criteria set out in the OCP for country residential uses, and, following a review of the merits of such applications, the discretion of Council.

Although not specifically identified, residential uses in the RM could also include **other forms of cluster housing** e.g. mobile home courts. Criteria would include: proximity to services (power, telephone, school bus and emergency services), adequate and appropriate infrastructure, access, design considerations, and separation from conflicting and incompatible uses (flood susceptible areas, hazard lands, ILOs, wildlife habitats, etc.) If these criteria are met, the RM could review the possibility of such uses in the Mandel and Renown areas.

Housing possibilities may also be considered in the form of lakeshore development. Some possibilities occur on the south shore of Little Manitou Lake. Proposals along the lake would need to meet the same criteria as “**other forms of cluster housing**” (above). Lakeshore capacity would also need to be assessed and consultation with the Resort Village of Manitou Beach would need to be maintained to ensure compatibility of development.

F.2.3 Other Designations

- **Potential Flood Risk** – Potential flood areas are defined on the basis of the most recent and reliable information. The Sustainable and Environment policies of the

OCP and the District Plan identify the need to map these areas more precisely and apply appropriate development standards.

- **Wildlife Habitat Protection-** Two general areas have been identified as wildlife habitat areas in the RM – both in the vicinity of Little Manitou Lake. The Sustainable and Environment policies of the OCP and District Plan identify the need to map these areas in more detail.

G. PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING

The successful implementation of the OCP will depend on several factors: legislative tools, budgeting decisions, public acceptance of the OCP and the partnership efforts that have been recommended in the District Plan. As in the case of the District Plan the OCP will need to be reviewed from time to time to determine if amendments are needed, with a more comprehensive review occurring within five years. Occasionally amendments may also be initiated as a result of development proposals which will result in changes to the Plan. In all such circumstances – amendments and comprehensive reviews – engaging the public for Plan comment and input will be essential.

G.1 Implementation Through Planning Legislation

Zoning Bylaws

The zoning bylaws are the main implementing tools for the OCPs. They divide the community into districts and prescribe the uses of land and the regulations that govern them.

Subdivision

Applications to subdivide land will need to be reviewed in light of the OCP policies.

G.2 Implementation Through Budgeting

Councils use the budget process to review priorities and provide funding accordingly. In a number of circumstances the RM may need to consider its budgeting process collectively with the other members of the MSMA where matters that benefit the MSMA District as a whole are being reviewed.

G.3 Monitoring and Measuring Success

Monitoring and measuring success are important in establishing the relevance of the OCP to the community. This can be achieved by the RM by a) conducting an annual review of the OCP's policies and b) engaging the public allowing opportunity for comment on the policies.

H. SCHEDULES